IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc. No.76851-M of 2006
Date of Decision:- 17.03.2007

Nasib Kaur ....Petitioner(s)

through
Mr.U.K.Agnihotri, Advocate

vs.

State of Haryana & ors. ....Respondent(s)

through
Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

***

SURYA KANT, J.

The prayer in this petition is to direct respondent Nos.1 to 3, namely, the State of Haryana and its Police Authorities to register a case against respondent Nos.4 to 7 and thereafter to conduct a fair and impartial investigation into the same.

Notice of motion was issued and in response thereto, the official respondents have filed their reply.

It is averred in the reply that the petitioner is aged about 47 years and is married to respondent No.4. She is mother of two married daughters and two young sons. It is averred that upon investigation, the allegations made by the petitioner against her husband and his associates to the effect that they had attempted to commit rape upon her, have been found to be false. According to the official respondents, “the petitioner does not enjoy good reputation” as she has already left her matrimonial home.

Having regard to the fact that the official respondents have come up with the stand that the allegations made by the petitioner have been enquired into and found false, but at the same time without accepting the conclusions drawn by the official respondents against the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a criminal complaint and/or have any other recourse as may be available to her in law.

March 17, 2007 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**